



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/817,620

04/01/2004

Carl Woods

LAM2P474

2464

25920 7590 09/17/2008  
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP  
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

PERRIN, JOSEPH L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Art Unit: 1792

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. The proposed After Final amendment will be entered upon submission of a proper Appeal Brief. It is noted that the proposed amendment does not indicate any changes to any claims. Therefore, the claims would remain rejected on the present grounds.

***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the feature 14 of Taniyama does not meet the claimed structure. However, as the rest of the response acknowledged, the claimed docking surface is provided by the combination of 13 and 14. Therefore, the argument is unconvincing because it does not address the rejection as made.

Applicant argues that 7B of Fig. 2 cannot hold items 13 and 14. The argument is unconvincing because the rejection was based on Fig. 13, which clearly shows items 13 and 14 inside item 7b.

In response to applicant's argument regarding the placement and processing of the substrate, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to MICHAEL CLEVELAND at telephone number (571)272-1418.

Michael Cleveland

SPE

Art Unit 1792

/Michael Cleveland/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Application/Control Number: 10/817,620

Page 3

Art Unit: 1792